

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,435	05/02/2006	Jeffrey D. Rothstein	JHU2090-1	2771	
28213 DLA PIPER U	7590 08/28/2008 IS LLP	EXAMINER			
4365 EXECU			MACFARLANE, STACEY NEE		
SUITE 1100 SAN DIEGO.	CA 92121-2133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/542,435	ROTHSTEIN ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	STACEY MACFARLANE	1649				

	STACEY MACFARLANE	1649						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the pellition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. QThe Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 <u>August 2008</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require rutther cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL -324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / tinonamont (	TOL OLT,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4,7,9,10,13-17 and 19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entored					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
	/Olga N. Chernyshev, P Primary Examiner, Art U							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration has been reviewed in full. No new arguments are presented. Applicant traverses on the grounds that each of the prior art cited in the previous action are silent with respect to "the correlation between GTRAP3-18 activity regulation or expression and modulation of cellular glycosylation". Examiner maintains that the prior ant teaches the active steps required by the claims: (1) contacting a cell expressing GTRAP3-18 and (2) assaying GTRAP3-18 nucleic acid or polypeptide expression and/or protein activity, and therefore the prior art identifies a compound that modulate scellular glycosylation. The method as claimed does not require any further correlative steps that in the very considered and rejected for the same reasons of record as previously stated in the Final Office Action.